09/486,882

Application No. Applicant(s)

McGregor

Interview Summary Examiner

Padmashri Ponnaluri

Group Art Unit 1627



All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):	
(1) Padmashri Ponnaluri	(3)
(2) Attorney Charles Quinn	
Date of Interview Feb 13, 2002	_
Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference c) Personal [copy is given to 1) applicant Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes	
Claim(s) discussed: all pending claims Identification of prior art discussed: NONE	
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicants attorney has called examiner to discuss the restriction requirement mailed on 1/29/02.	
Applicants attorney has informed that in the office action i	mailed on 1/29/02, reasons for species election is missing; and
also discussed the species election requirement for groups IV and VII. Examiner has informed that the species election is	
	nformed that a new restriction would be mailed with the reasons
for species election.	
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amenallowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no available, a summary thereof must be attached.)	dments which the examiner agreed would render the claims copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is
i) 🛮 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sepa	rate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked).
INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MF already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM	MAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST PEP section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has OM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE rd of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.	